Gated three-terminal device architecture to eliminate persistent photoconductivity in oxide semiconductor photosensor arrays
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The composition of amorphous oxide semiconductors, which are well known for their optical transparency1-4, can be tailored to enhance their absorption and induce photoconductivity for irradiation with green, and shorter wavelength light. In principle, amorphous oxide semiconductor-based thin-film photodetectors could hence be applied as photosensors. However, their photoconductivity persists for hours after illumination has been removed5,6, which severely degrades the response time and the frame rate of oxide-based sensor arrays. We have solved the problem of persistent photoconductivity (PPC) by developing a gated amorphous oxide semiconductor photo thin-film transistor (photo-TFT) that can provide direct control over the position of the Fermi level in the active layer. Applying a short-duration (10 ns) voltage pulse to these devices induces electron accumulation and accelerates their recombination with ionized oxygen vacancy sites, which are thought to cause PPC. We have integrated these photo-TFTs in a transparent active-matrix photosensor array that can be operated at high frame rates and that has potential applications in contact-free interactive displays.

The oxide semiconductor TFT is a leading candidate for the next generation of large-area electronic systems, as it can be deposited at low temperature with high uniformity over large areas1-4,7-12, showing high mobility (~30 cm2 V−1 s−1), low sub-threshold slope (~0.1 V/dec) and high bias stability, even when deposited at low temperatures7-12. These attributes have triggered intensive research on oxide semiconductors and, in particular, the In–Zn–O (IZO) and Ga–In–Zn–O (GIZO) systems1,2,5,7-10,13.

Despite the advantages of the metal oxide semiconductor materials system for transistors, and in particular, active-matrix large-area imaging arrays, the oxide semiconductor TFT has a critical drawback associated with oxygen vacancies located within the bandgap14,15, leading to persistent photoconductivity (PPC; refs 5,6,13-15), especially under short-wavelength light16,17. PPC causes the semiconductor material to remain conductive for hours/days, even in the absence of light15-17. This increases the response time and limits the frame rate. Although a variety of optical sensors using oxide semiconductors have been reported, they are generally two-terminal devices17,18, and such configurations do not allow rapid recovery from the effects of PPC (refs 16-18).

To eliminate PPC rapidly and intentionally, a three-terminal device structure (that is, a gated TFT or photo-TFT) is employed in the pixel area with an appropriate bias scheme. Photo-TFTs provide the necessary control over the position of the Fermi level to enable the implementation of an operational scheme to manage the PPC problem19-21. This involves applying a short (10 ns), positive gate voltage pulse, which erases the PPC by inducing electron accumulation; this is thought to accelerate the recombination of electrons with ionized oxygen vacancy sites, which are believed to be the origin of the PPC problem. This operating scheme works despite the fact that the photo-TFTs are negatively biased to increase sensitivity during read-out. Unfortunately, this negative bias would act to compound the PPC by extending the recovery time to very large timescales if it were not controlled by the voltage pulse. Using this pulse operational scheme, we have fabricated a working all-TFT transparent photosensor array with a frame rate of 150 Hz.

Furthermore, the transparency associated with PPC is dependent on the wavelength of incident light. The perception of nano and thin-film oxide semiconductor structures being optically transparent is only true for large wavelengths, despite the wide bandgap of oxide semiconductors22. In fact, the absorption at short wavelengths has led to the development of two-terminal photosensors, such as photodiodes23,24. Although they are structurally simple25,26, they are not process compatible with the switching TFTs used in the active matrix. More importantly, they are not able to provide any form of bias control over the photosensitivity of the device.

This work presents an all-oxide semiconductor three-terminal gated photosensor array in which the active channel consists of a stack of GIZO (25 nm)/IZO (50 nm)/GIZO (17 nm) layers. Here, the gallium-free sandwiched IZO layer has a lower bandgap (~2.9 eV) and longer Urbach tails27,28. The latter serves to enhance the optical absorption at longer wavelengths.

Figure 1a shows the top and cross-sectional views of the photosensor pixel, respectively, incorporating an inverted staggered switch-TFT and photo-TFT, both of which are integrated on the active matrix using the same fabrication process. The TFTs consist of SiOx gate dielectric and passivation layers, a semiconductor tri-layer comprising GIZO (25 nm)/IZO (50 nm)/GIZO (17 nm), along with molybdenum metallization (see the bottom inset and Supporting Information S1). Here, the active channel is composed of nano-sized crystalline islands embedded within an amorphous...
Figure 1 | The structure of an amorphous oxide semiconductor photosensor pixel comprising a gated three-terminal photosensor and a gated three-terminal switch. a, Schematic of a sensor pixel incorporating an inverted staggered switch-TFT and photo-TFT. The green region correspond to the display pixel. The inset at the bottom shows the cross-sectional view. b, The photosensor pixel circuit; the $V_{GS\,\text{SENSOR}}$ terminal gives control over both the light sensitivity and PPC, and the $V_{GS\,\text{SWITCH}}$ terminal performs the read-out operation. c, Photograph of the fully fabricated photosensor pixel array. The inset shows a photosensor composed of one photo-TFT and one switch-TFT.

Figure 2 | Electrical, material and optical characteristics of IZO, GIZO, and GIZO/IZO/GIZO devices. a, Transfer characteristics of IZO and GIZO TFTs both in the dark and under illumination. Here, only IZO TFTs show light sensitivity. b, Tauc plot; $(\alpha h\nu)^2$ as a function of photon energy $h\nu$, where $\nu$ is the photon frequency, indicating the optical bandgap for IZO (2.9 eV) and GIZO (3.5 eV) layers. The larger Urbach tail in IZO, together with its lower optical bandgap, explains its greater light sensitivity. c, Transfer characteristics of GIZO/IZO/GIZO TFTs both with and without a top metal layer; the top metal layer effectively shields the channel from illumination. Inset: Micrograph of GIZO/IZO/GIZO TFTs both with and without a top metal layer. d, Transfer characteristics of GIZO/IZO/GIZO TFTs under exposure to 400, 450, 500, 550, 600 and 650 nm light with a power of $\sim 100 \mu W cm^{-2}$. The TFTs are sensitive to wavelengths shorter than 550 nm.

matrix (Supplementary Information S2 and S3). In this stacked structure, the GIZO layers were employed to allow adjustment of the threshold voltage ($V_T$) to a more positive value while protecting the thicker sandwiched IZO layer from damage during processing (Supplementary Information S2).

Figure 1b shows the photosensor pixel circuit and Fig. 1c shows the fabricated 192 × 256 photosensor array. Here, the switch-TFT and the photo-TFT have aspect ratios ($W/L$) of 50 $\mu m$/50 $\mu m$ and 125 $\mu m$/10 $\mu m$, respectively. This yields an aperture ratio of 80%, indicating that a very large fraction of the sensor array...
Figure 3 | PPC phenomenon of an oxide-based sensor device. a, Drain–source current as a function of time as the TFT is subjected to a light pulse (green) at substrate temperatures of 300, 333 and 367 K. The values of $V_{GS}$ and $V_{DS}$ are maintained at $-7$ and $10 \, \text{V}$, respectively, during the measurements. Here, the photocurrent persists long after illumination has been removed. Inset: Drain–source current as a function of time as the TFT is subjected to a light pulse in air and under vacuum. The PPC remains even under vacuum. b, Schematic band diagram of the TFTs under a negative gate bias and light illumination; illumination empties the donor-like states while ionizing the oxygen vacancy sites, thus converting them from deep neutral states into shallow doubly-ionized donor states. At the same time, negative charges on the gate are compensated by positively charged oxygen vacancies, $V_{O}^{2+}$, leading to the formation of an un-depleted back channel, where photogenerated electrons are confined. c, Schematic band diagram of an oxide semiconductor TFT in the absence of light and under a negative gate bias. The negative gate bias confines $V_{O}^{2+}$ to the front channel and electrons to the back hetero-interface, slowing the recombination of $V_{O}^{2+}$ sites near the front interface and thus prolonging the PPC.

surface is transparent. In this pixel architecture, the photo-TFT is connected serially to the switch-TFT and to an off-panel read-out integrated circuit, allowing the photocurrent to be read, stored and subsequently visualized on a PC monitor. Observations identify the IZO as the main light absorption layer. This is due to its enhanced electron–hole (e–h) pair generation from both subgap as well as band-to-band transitions. The in-dark and under-illumination transfer characteristics of a GIZO-only and an IZO-only TFT, both fabricated under similar deposition conditions as the TFTs in the sensor array, are compared in Fig. 2a. Note that only the IZO TFT exhibits light sensitivity. This can be explained based on the Tauc plot of the IZO and GIZO layers (Fig. 2b), determined using $\alpha t = -\ln(T/(1 - R))$, where $\alpha$ is the absorption coefficient, $t$ is the sample thickness, $T$ transmittance, and $R$ reflectance (see Fig. 2b; refs 27,28). Here, the addition of gallium is believed to suppress oxygen vacancy formation, increasing the optical bandgap while sharpening the Urbach tail and thus reducing the density of subgap defect states.

Figure 2c shows transfer characteristics of the photo-TFT and switch-TFT, which yield a field effect mobility $\mu_{FE}$ of $23 \, \text{cm}^{2} \, \text{V}^{-1} \, \text{s}^{-1}$, $V_{T}$ of $1.4 \, \text{V}$, and sub-threshold slope (SS) of $300 \, \text{mV} \, \text{per dec}$. Here, the photo-TFTs remain light sensitive whereas the switch-TFTs are shielded using a top molybdenum layer. In contrast to the two-terminal photosensor, the gated sensor presented here provides control over light sensitivity by enabling the gate-induced lowering of the Fermi level to deplete the channel. This raises the $I_{\text{photo}}/I_{\text{dark}}$ ratio to $\sim 10^{5}$, where $I_{\text{photo}}$ is the photocurrent and $I_{\text{dark}}$ is the dark current, under exposure to $\sim 1\,\mu\text{W} \, \text{cm}^{-2}$ at $\lambda = 400 \, \text{nm}$, when the gate-to-source $V_{GS}$ is set to $-5 \, \text{V}$. Furthermore, the $\lambda$-dependence of the photo-TFTs was measured by exposing them to 650, 600, 550, 500, 450 and 400 nm wavelength light at a power of $\sim 100 \, \mu\text{W} \, \text{cm}^{-2}$. The photo-TFTs are sensitive only to wavelengths $\lambda < 550 \, \text{nm}$ (Fig. 2d). Here, the increased absorption coefficient observed at shorter wavelengths leads to an increased photocurrent.

An important figure of merit for the photo-TFT is the threshold voltage stability under negative bias–light stress, which affects the key figures of merit such as photosensitivity, noise and lifetime during device operation. As seen in Supplementary Information S4, subjecting the photo-TFTs to 3 h of negative bias–temperature stress causes a manageable $V_{T}$ shift of less than $2 \, \text{V}$, estimated by tracking the changes in the gate voltage required to induce a current of $(W/L) \times 10 \, \text{nA}$. Here, the gate and drain stress voltages were $-20$ and $10 \, \text{V}$, respectively, and the temperature was $60 \, ^\circ\text{C}$. The negative shifts in the transfer characteristics shown in the inset can be attributed to bias-induced emptying of donor-like traps (neutral when occupied and positive when empty) near the interface, which act as localized trapped holes, thus raising the effective gate overdrive ($V_{GS}-V_{T}$). As shown in Fig. 3a, the photocurrent, measured using a Keithley 4200, rapidly increases by more than eight orders of magnitude on illumination, and persists long after the illumination has been removed. This PPC causes the active channel to act as though it is n-doped, lowering the threshold voltage to keep the photo-TFT always on.

We attribute the PPC primarily to the ionization of oxygen vacancy ($V_{O}$) sites. The inset of Fig. 3a shows that the PPC remains even under vacuum, albeit with a faster recovery rate. One potential explanation is that PPC in oxide semiconductors is due to light causing the surface oxygen species to desorb, raising
the photconductivity\textsuperscript{21,29}, and that the subsequent re-adsorption of surface species then governs the slow decay in the dark. This mechanism (particularly pronounced in nanostructures with a high surface-to-volume ratio) can be ruled out here because the photo-TFTs considered are made of passivated thin films and the PPC remains under vacuum. Here, as proposed by Lany et al.\textsuperscript{3} and Janotti et al.\textsuperscript{26}, illumination with $\lambda < 550 \text{nm}$ is thought to ionize the deep, neutral $V_O$ states to shallow donor states ($V_{O2+}$), as depicted in the band diagrams in Fig. 3b (also presented in Supplementary Information S5). To provide mechanistic insights, various materials analyses were performed (depicted in Supplementary Information S6–S10). The outward relaxation of bonds surrounding the $V_O$ sites then creates an energy barrier ($\sim 0.3 \text{eV}$) against neutralization of $V_{O2+}$ sites, thus keeping the energy in a state of high conductivity\textsuperscript{3}. Consequently, the light-induced negative $\Delta V_T$, and thus $\Delta I_{\text{photo}}$, can be approximately described by assuming that PPC simply raises the flat-band Fermi level ($E_{F0}$) because of the increased electron doping concentration of the film. This increases the flat-band voltage but reduces the band bending required to turn on the channel. Thus, assuming that trap capacitance is much smaller than the insulator capacitance ($C_i$), $\Delta V_T$ can be estimated by:

$$\Delta V_T \approx -\left[ \frac{qD_e}{C_i} \Delta E_{F0} + \frac{\Delta Q_{\text{trap}}}{C_i} + \frac{\Delta Q_T}{C_i} \right]$$

where $D_e$ is the density of interface states, $Q_{\text{trap}}$ the trapped charge inside or near the dielectric, and $Q_T$ the gate-voltage induced charge density at threshold condition. Because illumination raises the photocurrent by many orders of magnitude, the density of ionized $V_O$ sites can be taken as $\Delta n/2$, as each $V_{O2+}$ releases two electrons into the conduction band. Here, $\Delta n$ is the density of photogenerated electrons. Therefore, $\Delta V_T$ can be defined in terms of the density of $V_{O2+}$ ($n_{O2+}$), combining equation (1) with $\Delta E_{F0} = k T \ln(\frac{n_{O2+}}{n_o})$ (ref. 31):

$$\Delta V_T \approx -\left[ \frac{qD_e k T}{C_i} \ln\left(\frac{n_{O2+}}{n_o}\right) + \frac{\Delta Q_{\text{trap}}}{C_i} + \frac{\sqrt{2e\kappa k T}}{C_i} \left(\sqrt{n_{O2+}} - \sqrt{n_o}\right) \right]$$

where $\kappa$ is permittivity of the channel layer and $n_o$ the pre-illumination carrier concentration. Based on equations (1) and (2), the light-induced negative $V_T$ shift can be decreased by reducing the density of $V_O$ sites (which also enhance photosensitivity) while maintaining trap/interface conditions ($D_e$ and $Q_{\text{trap}}$), by accelerating the recovery of $V_{O2+}$ sites and/or by deliberately trapping electrons inside or near the dielectric. The gated terminal, as we will see later, provides control over the latter two compensation techniques by enabling the manipulation of the position of the Fermi level.

In the results shown, the illumination was applied when the photo-TFT was subjected to a $V_{GS}$ and drain-to-source voltage $V_{DS}$ of $-7$ and $10 \text{V}$, respectively. Here, $V_{GS}$ is biased negatively to maximize the photocurrent gain and $V_{DS}$ is positive to read out the data. This negative bias $V_{GS}$ compounds the PPC by further reducing $V_T$ (Fig. 3a). Consequently, it is the negative bias which seems to be the factor limiting the PPC decay, removing the expected temperature dependence of PPC recovery. Indeed, as seen in Fig. 3a, the estimated characteristic recovery time is of the order of days under this bias condition, apparently rendering these devices unusable as photosensors. This is due to the fact that the negative bias causes a physical separation of photogenerated electron–hole pairs by confining the electrons to the back channel, even after the illumination has stopped, as shown in Fig. 3c. As both the $V_{O2+}$ sites

**Figure 4** | Fermi-level control of oxide–semiconductor to eliminate the PPC phenomenon, and demonstration of a photosensor screen. **a.** Drain-source current as a function time when TFTs are subjected to light and/or gate bias pulses. Even after the illumination is stopped, the photocurrent persists. Only a positive gate pulse is effective in resetting the original dark state, that is a 10-ns positive gate voltage pulse leads to recovery from PPC. **b.** Schematic band diagram of an oxide TFT, conceptually depicting the positive-bias-assisted PPC recovery mechanism. A positive bias increases the electron concentration within the channel, accelerating the recombination of $V_{O2+}$ sites and hence recovery from PPC. **c.** Image visualized on a display; the words ‘Sensor Array’ are written using a green laser pointer held at a distance 30 cm from the display surface, and the letters ‘SAIT’ are written using a white LED pen held at a distance of 1–2 cm.
and the holes photogenerated within the valence band are localized, physical confinement of the photogenerated electrons near the back channel further inhibits the recovery by slowing the reaction, $V_{O}^{2e} \rightarrow V_O$, and thus reduces $V_T$ further (see equation (2)).

However, applying a positive gate voltage can overcome the adverse effects of PPC. As demonstrated in Fig. 4a, a 10-ns positive pulse (10 V; applied using, for example, an Agilent 81110A pulse generator, and measured using a Tektronix DPO 70604 oscilloscope) erases the PPC, enabling an operational scheme that manages the PPC in sensor arrays. As schematically depicted in Fig. 4b, this technique operates by raising the Fermi level to induce electron accumulation near the front channel, thereby accelerating the reaction, $V_{O}^{2e} \rightarrow V_O$, and hence recovery (see equation (2)). A further contributing mechanism to the accelerated recovery can be electron trapping inside or near the active channel/dielectric interface, which increases the $V_T$, as predicted by equation (1). Consequently, the optimal driving scheme interleaves both positive and negative pulses to help recover from PPC and discharge trapped electrons, respectively. The underlying PPC mechanism for oxide semiconductors in general follows the proposals by Lany et al. and Janotti et al., which we have applied to explain the photo-induced effects in our oxide system. Although systems seem to explain our experimental findings, it does not by any means rule out variations in the proposed model, nor does it dismiss possible alternative explanations.

Figure 4c demonstrates an all-oxide photosensor array prototype based on the bias-assisted PPC recovery scheme. Here, the words 'Sensor Array' were written using a green laser pointer held 30 cm in front of the panel, and the letters 'SAIT' were written with a white light LED pen held 1–2 cm in front of the display. The arrays have a response time of 25 μs, yielding a frame rate of 150 Hz (see Supplementary Visual Audio File).

In conclusion, we have demonstrated a gated photosensor array consisting of high-mobility GIZO/IZO/GIZO TFTs, whose materials system has been judiciously chosen to increase the light sensitivity by several orders of magnitude. The photo-TFTs, although stable under a negative bias–temperature stress, suffer from the adverse PPC effect, with recovery times on the scale of days. However, by applying short positive gate pulses, we are able to erase the PPC, enabling an operational scheme for managing both instability and PPC. The results presented here demonstrate the feasibility of transparent interactive matrix displays with embedded imaging that enable both touch and touch-free operation.
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