


# Two-photon uncaging of $\gamma$ -aminobutyric acid in intact brain tissue

Masanori Matsuzaki<sup>1,2,4\*</sup>, Tatsuya Hayama<sup>1,2</sup>, Haruo Kasai<sup>1,2</sup> & Graham C R Ellis-Davies<sup>3,4\*</sup>

We have synthesized a photosensitive (or caged) 4-carboxy-methoxy-5,7-dinitroindolinyl (CDNI) derivative of  $\gamma$ -aminobutyric acid (GABA). Two-photon excitation of CDNI-GABA produced rapid activation of GABAergic currents in neurons in brain slices with an axial resolution of approximately 2  $\mu$ m and enabled high-resolution functional mapping of GABA-A receptors. Two-photon uncaging of GABA, the main inhibitory neurotransmitter, should allow detailed studies of receptor function and synaptic integration with subcellular precision.

Two-photon (2P) excitation is a method that has revolutionized many areas of biological science as it enables three-dimensionally defined excitation of chromophores in biological tissue<sup>1,2</sup>. We previously developed 4-methoxy-7-nitroindolinyl-L-glutamate (MNI-Glu, **1**)<sup>3</sup> and 4-carboxymethoxy-5,7-dinitroindolinyl-L-glutamate (CDNI-Glu, **2**)<sup>4</sup> for 2P uncaging in mammalian brain slices. Depending on the size of the 2P

excitation volume, we mimicked synaptic quantal release of glutamate using diffraction-limited 2P uncaging<sup>3</sup> or fired action potentials and mapped unitary synaptic connections in a large volume of the neocortex using macro 2P uncaging<sup>5</sup>. Although a variety of caged glutamate probes have been reported<sup>6</sup>, GABA has received comparatively little attention from chemical biologists, and 2P uncaging of this vital neurotransmitter has not been reported. We have synthesized (Supplementary Methods) a new caged GABA derivative (CDNI-GABA, **3**) that undergoes 2P photolysis in living brain slices with low power (about 10 mW at 720 nm) and at low concentrations (0.40–1.35 mM). Two-photon uncaging of CDNI-GABA over the axon initial segment (AIS) of CA1 pyramidal neurons enabled high-resolution functional mapping that showed a punctate distribution of GABA-A receptors. Successive functional mapping of the apical dendrite with CDNI-GABA and MNI-Glu revealed no correlation between the distribution of GABA




**Figure 1 | Functional mapping of GABA sensitivities in the perisomatic dendritic area of a hippocampal neuron by 2P photolysis of CDNI-GABA.**

(a) Structure of CDNI-GABA. (b) A fluorescence image of a CA1 pyramidal neuron filled with Alexa-594 dye. The red-boxed region was mapped in e. (c) Irradiation (6.3 mW, 1 ms, arrow) of CDNI-GABA (1.35 mM, puffed from a pipette close to the surface of the brain slice) evoked a rapid current trace (2pIPSC) recorded from the pixel indicated by the red dot in b. (d) Current trace from the same pixel in the presence of PTX. (e) The perisomatic area (red box in b) was subjected to 2P functional mapping in four planes (left images); the evoked currents (right images) from each pixel (grid of 16  $\times$  32) are shown on a pseudo-color scale. (f) Amplitudes of 2pIPSCs (circles) at the pixels corresponding to the red point shown in e at each Z axis. The smooth red line represents Gaussian fitting of the data. (g) Mapping of functional GABA-A responses (right) across a dendritic surface (left) using femtosecond pulses from a mode-locked Ti:sapphire laser. (h) Mapping the same region with the same laser in continuous-wave mode (mode-unlocked) with the same power. (i) Restoration of mode lock reproduced the functional map shown in g. (j) Comparison of the average of 66 2pIPSCs with peak amplitudes of  $>10$  pA (selected from the mapping shown in e at Z axis of 0  $\mu$ m) with the average of 24 spontaneous mIPSCs whose peak amplitudes were  $>10$  pA recorded from the same neuron. The peak amplitudes were normalized.

<sup>1</sup>Laboratory of Structural Physiology, Center for Disease Biology and Integrative Medicine, Graduate School of Medicine, University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan.

<sup>2</sup>Center for NanoBio Integration, University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan. <sup>3</sup>Department of Pharmacology and Physiology, Drexel University College of Medicine, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA. <sup>4</sup>These authors contributed equally to this work. \*e-mail: mzakim@m.u-tokyo.ac.jp or cagedca@hotmail.com



**Figure 2 | Functional mapping of GABA-A receptors on the proximal apical dendrites and the axon initial segment.** (a) Left: Z-stacked fluorescent image of a CA1 pyramidal neuron filled with Alexa-594 dye. First, 10 mM MNI-Glu was puffed from the glass pipette onto the slice surface and functional mapping of glutamate responses was performed (middle), then 1.35 mM CDNI-GABA was locally applied for functional mapping of GABA-R (right). The laser intensity in **a** was 3.9 mW and 6.3 mW for 2P uncaging of MNI-Glu and CDNI-GABA, respectively. The illumination time was 1 ms per pixel. (b) The induced currents at the numbered red dots in **a**. (c) A Z-stacked fluorescent image of a CA1 pyramidal neuron filled with Alexa-594 dye. The red-boxed region was mapped by 2P uncaging (9.8 mW, 2 ms per pixel) of 1.35 mM CDNI-GABA. The neuronal structure within the red box did not have any spine structure and projected to distal sites beyond the red box. Thus this structure represents the AIS. (d) Three-dimensional mapping of functional GABA receptors on the AIS. Left panels show the structure of the AIS at four focal planes, while right panels show the GABA responses at the four planes. Right bottom panel shows the Z-stacked map by max-intensity projection. GABA responses at the numbered red dots (1, soma; 2, AIS) are shown in **e**. Arrows indicate the time of illumination and the pseudo-color scales indicate the amplitude of corresponding responses. (e) Current traces recorded during functional mapping from points 1 and 2 in **d**. Arrows indicate the uncaging time.

and glutamate receptors. CDNI-GABA uncages rapidly and efficiently (quantum yield of 0.60); therefore this new caged GABA probe is a useful addition to the optical toolbox available to neuroscientists.

The synthesis of CDNI-GABA (**Fig. 1a**) is outlined in **Supplementary Figure 1**. The quantum yield for uncaging of CDNI-GABA was determined by comparison with a known photochemical standard. HPLC analysis of the time course of photolysis showed that CDNI-GABA was photolyzed about seven times faster than MNI-Glu, implying that the quantum yield of photolysis was 0.60 ( $\pm 0.02, n = 3$ ).

The presence of a strongly electron-withdrawing group at the 5 position promotes deprotonation of the C2 hydrogen, enhancing the quantum yield of bond scission to give the desired uncaged amino acid.

Photolysis of CDNI-GABA near the edge of the perisomatic apical dendrite of CA1 pyramidal neurons (**Fig. 1b**) with 6.3 mW for 1 ms evoked fast inhibitory postsynaptic potential currents (which we call '2pIPSCs'; see **Fig. 1c**) that were blocked by picrotoxin (PTX, **4**; **Fig. 1d**). Because power requirements for 2P uncaging of CDNI-GABA were low, we reasoned that this new caged GABA could enable three-dimensional mapping of receptor densities<sup>3</sup>, without fear of photo-damage. Functional mapping at four Z sections (**Fig. 1e**) revealed that the axial resolution of the evoked current was close to the diffraction limit (**Fig. 1f**; full width at half maximum (FWHM) = 2.1  $\mu$ m, microscope resolution 1.4  $\mu$ m at 720 nm). These data show that non-linear excitation particularly helps limit axial uncaging in comparison to UV-visible (that is, 1P) excitation. Similar benefits have been reported for 2P uncaging of glutamate in brain slices<sup>7–10</sup>.

We established that the evoked currents arose from 2P excitation<sup>1</sup> by mapping the GABA-A receptor density across the surface of the apical dendrite with and without laser mode lock (**Fig. 1g–i**). No current was evoked with a mode-unlocked laser (**Fig. 1h**), whereas similar functional receptor maps were detected before and after this experiment with a mode-locked laser (**Fig. 1g,i**).

Two-photon uncaging of CDNI-GABA allowed us to approximate miniature IPSCs (mIPSCs), as the rise time and decay kinetics of 2pIPSCs were similar to those of spontaneous mIPSCs (**Fig. 1j** and **Supplementary Table 1**). Specifically, the average rise time (20–80%) of the 2pIPSCs was  $2.0 \pm 0.2$  ms (6–112 events per cell,  $n = 6$  cells), whereas the average rise time of the spontaneous mIPSCs was  $1.5 \pm 0.2$  ms (10–66 events per cell,  $n = 6$  cells). The average rise times of the 2pIPSCs and mIPSCs were not significantly different ( $P = 0.075$ , paired *t*-test). The decay half-times were  $24.7 \pm 2.5$  ms (2pIPSCs) and  $10.5 \pm 1.1$  ms (mIPSCs), which were significantly different ( $P < 0.005$ , paired *t*-test,  $n = 6$  cells). Given that 2P uncaging of CDNI-GABA on the perisomatic dendritic membrane showed a dense distribution of GABA-A receptors (**Fig. 1e**), the longer decay time of the 2pIPSC probably reflects the increased clearance time of uncaged GABA from clusters of GABA-A receptors near the focal volume of 2P excitation.

Functional mapping with CDNI-GABA was reproducible (**Fig. 1g,i**, correlation coefficient of  $0.65 \pm 0.02, n = 4$  pairs of maps in 4 cells), implying that hot spots of GABA responses do not rapidly diffuse laterally. If such hot spots were GABAergic synapses, they should be devoid of glutamate receptors, because GABAergic and glutamatergic synapses are structurally different<sup>11</sup>. Comparison of functional maps of GABA-A and  $\alpha$ -amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid (AMPA)-type glutamate receptors on the same proximal dendrites (**Fig. 2a,b**) showed a correlation coefficient of 0.22. These data suggest that the hot spots of functional GABA-A receptors were anchored clusters of GABA-A receptors, probably GABAergic postsynaptic sites, and that the number of functional GABA-A receptors varied among synapses.

One of the major functions of GABA-A receptors is to modulate the generation of action potentials in the AIS where sodium channels are densely distributed<sup>12</sup>. Although immunological staining

**Table 1 | Summary of the chemical and pharmacological properties of important caged GABA probes**

Caged GABA	$\epsilon$	$\phi$	$\epsilon \cdot \phi$	Rate	2PP	Pharmacology	Stability	Solubility
CNB <sup>16</sup>	430 <sup>a</sup>	0.14	60	21 $\mu$ s	No	Partial antagonist	Half-life 17 h at RT	>50 mM
BC204 <sup>19</sup>	14,000 <sup>b</sup>	0.04	560	4 ms	ND	No antagonism <30 $\mu$ M	Stable	ND
RuBj <sup>20</sup>	6,400 <sup>c</sup>	0.2	1,280	ND	ND	No antagonism <20 $\mu$ M	Stable	ND
CNI <sup>18</sup>	4,200 <sup>d</sup>	0.1	420	ND	Yes	Strong antagonist at 10 mM	Stable at -20 °C	200 mM
CDNI	6,400 <sup>d</sup>	0.6	3,840	ND	Yes	Mild antagonist at 0.4 mM	Stable at pH 2, at -20 °C	100 mM

Abbreviations and symbols:  $\epsilon$ , extinction coefficient ( $M^{-1} cm^{-1}$ );  $\phi$ , quantum yield; ND, no data; 2PP, two-photon photolysis.

<sup>a</sup> $\epsilon$  at 350 nm. <sup>b</sup> $\epsilon$  at 377 nm. <sup>c</sup> $\epsilon$  at 424 nm. <sup>d</sup> $\epsilon$  at 330 nm. RT, room temperature.

has revealed that axo-axonic cells innervate the AIS via GABAergic synapses with GABA receptors<sup>13,14</sup>, the functional distribution of GABA-A receptors along the AIS has not been clarified. Thus, we performed three-dimensional mapping of GABA-A receptors on the AIS. We detected heterogeneous responses of functional GABA-A receptors along the AIS over 40  $\mu$ m (Fig. 2c,d). The kinetics of the currents (Fig. 2e) were similar to those obtained at the somatic membrane. The detection of functional GABA-A receptors on the AIS required a higher energy of 2P excitation than that used at the perisomatic apical dendrite. Immunoelectron microscopy has shown that synaptic size and the number of synaptic GABA-A receptors in the AIS are smaller than those in the soma<sup>14</sup>. Our data confirm this, showing a functionally disparate distribution of inhibitory receptors at the major input and output pathways of pyramidal neurons.

The most widely used<sup>15</sup> caged GABA ( $\alpha$ -carboxy-*o*-nitrobenzyl-GABA, CNB-GABA, 5)<sup>16</sup> has been reported to be a mild antagonist of GABA-A receptors<sup>17</sup>. Consequently we tested the basic pharmacology of CDNI-GABA, the structurally related CNI-GABA (6, 4-carboxymethoxy-7-nitroindolinyl-GABA) and the widely used MNI-Glu. Concentrations of 0.325 mM CNI-GABA when bath-applied in the artificial cerebrospinal fluid (aCSF) perfusate have no effect on the tonic firing of neurons of the deep cerebellar nuclei in brain slices<sup>18</sup>. We found that a much higher concentration of CNI-GABA (10 mM), which we used for 2P uncaging (data not shown), or CDNI-GABA (10 mM), inhibited GABA-A receptor currents in acute hippocampal slices when co-applied with GABA itself (Supplementary Fig. 2a,b). Much to our surprise, the same concentration of MNI-Glu (as used in Fig. 2) had similar effects (Supplementary Fig. 2b). These findings implied that high concentrations of structurally diverse caged transmitters such as MNI-Glu, CNI-GABA and CDNI-GABA block GABA-A receptors. In particular, the block of GABA-A receptors by MNI-Glu indicates a potential risk for caged neurotransmitters to affect receptors other than their intended target. The lower concentration of CDNI-GABA (1.35 mM) used for Figures 1 and 2 still reduced GABA-A receptor current when co-applied with 0.1 mM GABA by 70% (Supplementary Fig. 2a,b). Spontaneous mIPSCs were suppressed during puff application of 1.35 mM CDNI-GABA (Supplementary Fig. 2c). However, 0.4 mM CDNI-GABA reduced currents by only 22% (Supplementary Fig. 2b). Further, the antagonism on the size and frequency of spontaneous mIPSCs at 0.4 mM was mild, as almost immediately after the end of the puff the standard-sized signals of spontaneous mIPSCs reappeared (Supplementary Fig. 2d). We tested 2P uncaging at this concentration and found it was feasible (Supplementary Fig. 3). Thus CDNI-GABA can be used for 2P functional mapping under conditions of very mild antagonism toward GABA-A receptors.

A variety of caged GABA compounds have been reported over the past 16 years<sup>16,18–21</sup>. However, only CNB-GABA<sup>16</sup> has been used by many laboratories<sup>15</sup>, and so the properties of this important compound are compared with CDNI-GABA, along with recent alternative approaches<sup>18–20</sup>, in Table 1. CDNI-GABA is not only uniquely effective for 2P functional mapping (Figs. 1 and 2), it is also substantially more photochemically efficient for UV-visible photolysis (that is,  $\epsilon, \phi$ ) than the other caged GABAs (Table 1).

The inherent nonlinear nature of 2P microscopy means that axial excitation is greatly restricted when compared with UV-visible excitation<sup>1,2</sup>. This property has enabled several laboratories to achieve stimulation of AMPA receptors on isolated spine heads<sup>3,4,7–10,22–25</sup> using 2P uncaging of glutamate. In this report we introduce a new caged compound, CDNI-GABA, that releases GABA with high quantum efficiency (0.6) and that undergoes effective 2P photolysis. Two-photon uncaging of CDNI-GABA on neurons in brain slices evoked rapid postsynaptic currents with

an axial resolution of about 2  $\mu$ m. This accuracy allowed high-resolution functional mapping of GABA-A receptors along the axon initial segment and the perisomatic dendritic region of CA1 pyramidal cells. Two-photon photolysis of CDNI-GABA should be a powerful tool for clarifying, for example, GABAergic inhibitory effects on the ion flux through excitatory synapses, the propagation of depolarization along dendrites, and the generation of action potentials at the micrometer and even single-synaptic level.

Received 22 September 2009; accepted 18 December 2009; published online 21 February 2010

## References

1. Denk, W. & Svoboda, K. *Neuron* **18**, 351–357 (1997).
2. Svoboda, K. & Yasuda, R. *Neuron* **50**, 823–839 (2006).
3. Matsuzaki, M. *et al.* *Nat. Neurosci.* **4**, 1086–1092 (2001).
4. Ellis-Davies, G.C.R., Matsuzaki, M., Paukert, M., Kasai, H. & Bergles, D.E. *J. Neurosci.* **27**, 6601–6604 (2007).
5. Matsuzaki, M., Ellis-Davies, G.C.R. & Kasai, H. *J. Neurophysiol.* **99**, 1535–1544 (2008).
6. Ellis-Davies, G.C.R. *New Encyclopedia of Neuroscience*, e876 (Academic Press, 2008).
7. Beique, J.C. *et al.* *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **103**, 19535–19540 (2006).
8. Shankar, G.M. *et al.* *J. Neurosci.* **27**, 2866–2875 (2007).
9. Asrican, B., Lisman, J. & Otmakhov, N. *J. Neurosci.* **27**, 14007–14011 (2007).
10. Smith, M.A., Ellis-Davies, G.C.R. & Magee, J.C. *J. Physiol. (Lond.)* **548**, 245–258 (2003).
11. Megias, M., Emri, Z., Freund, T.F. & Gulyas, A.I. *Neuroscience* **102**, 527–540 (2001).
12. Kawaguchi, Y. & Kubota, Y. *Cereb. Cortex* **7**, 476–486 (1997).
13. Nusser, Z., Sieghart, W., Benke, D., Fritschy, J.M. & Somogyi, P. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **93**, 11939–11944 (1996).
14. Nyiri, G., Freund, T.F. & Somogyi, P. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* **13**, 428–442 (2001).
15. Eder, M., Zieglgansberger, W. & Dodt, H.U. *Rev. Neurosci.* **15**, 167–183 (2004).
16. Gee, K.R., Wieboldt, R. & Hess, G.P. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **116**, 8366–8367 (1994).
17. Molnar, P. & Nadler, J.V. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* **391**, 255–262 (2000).
18. Alvina, K., Walter, J.T., Kohn, A., Ellis-Davies, G. & Khodakhah, K. *Nat. Neurosci.* **11**, 1256–1258 (2008).
19. Curten, B., Kullmann, P.H.M., Bier, M.E., Kandler, K. & Schmidt, B.F. *Photochem. Photobiol.* **81**, 641–648 (2005).
20. Zayat, L. *et al.* *ChemBioChem* **8**, 2035–2038 (2007).
21. Papageorgiou, G. & Corrie, J.E.T. *Tetrahedron* **63**, 9668–9676 (2007).
22. Carter, A.G. & Sabatini, B.L. *Neuron* **44**, 483–493 (2004).
23. Araya, R., Eisenthal, K.B. & Yuste, R. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **103**, 18799–18804 (2006).
24. Sobczyk, A., Scheuss, V. & Svoboda, K. *J. Neurosci.* **25**, 6037–6046 (2005).
25. Remy, S., Csicsvari, J. & Beck, H. *Neuron* **61**, 906–916 (2009).

## Acknowledgments

This work was supported by US National Institutes of Health grant GM53395 (to G.C.R.E.-D.), a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Priority Areas (Elucidation of neural network function in the brain, number 20021008, to M.M.), a Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (A) (number 19680020, to M.M.) from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology (MEXT) of Japan, and funding from Precursory Research for Embryonic Science and Technology (PRESTO), Japan Science and Technology Agency (to M.M.).

## Author contributions

G.C.R.E.-D. made and characterized the caged compounds. M.M. and T.H. performed biological experiments and analyzed the data. H.K. provided resources and support for the biological experiments. G.C.R.E.-D. and M.M. wrote the manuscript.

## Competing financial interests

The authors declare competing financial interests: details accompany the full-text HTML version of the paper at <http://www.nature.com/naturechemicalbiology/>.

## Additional information

Supplementary information and chemical compound information is available online at <http://www.nature.com/naturechemicalbiology/>. Reprints and permissions information is available online at <http://npg.nature.com/reprintsandpermissions/>. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to M.M. or G.C.R.E.-D.